


Provided for non-commercial research and education use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.


In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Agricultural and Forest Meteorology

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/agrformet](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/agrformet)

## Phenological trends in southern Spain: A response to climate change

H. García-Mozo<sup>a,\*</sup>, A. Mestre<sup>b</sup>, C. Galán<sup>a</sup>Data contributors<sup>1</sup><sup>a</sup> Department of Botany, Ecology and Plant Physiology, University of Cordoba, 14071 Cordoba, Spain<sup>b</sup> Agencia Estatal de Meteorología (AEMET), Madrid, Spain

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 24 February 2009

Received in revised form 12 January 2010

Accepted 30 January 2010

## Keywords:

Phenology

Aerobiology

Climate change

Pollen

## ABSTRACT

The impact of climate change, and particularly of climate warming, is being tracked in many physical and biological systems. Plant phenology is seen as one of the most important bio-indicators, since trends can provide considerable temporal and spatial information regarding ongoing changes.

Analyses performed at six sites in southern Spain from 1986 to the present have focused on vegetative and overall reproductive phenology in *Olea europaea* L., and *Vitis vinifera* L. and in various species of *Quercus* spp. and Poaceae. Early results suggest that trends in flowering patterns derived from field phenological observations were similar to trends in aerobiological data for most study species, and indicate a trend towards earlier foliation, flowering and fruit ripening. This advance is more evident in arboreal than in herbaceous species. Statistical analysis showed temperature increase was the major factor affecting earlier foliation, flowering and fruit ripening, as well as prompting delayed leaf fall. Herbaceous species were more affected than trees by changes in rainfall records.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Global average surface temperatures increased by  $0.6 \pm 0.2$  °C over the twentieth century, and a further increase of 1.4–5.8 °C is projected by 2100. Europe recorded its warmest decade from 1990 to 1999 (IPCC, 2001, 2007). The impact of climate change, and particularly of climate warming, is being tracked in many physical and biological systems. Plant phenology is seen as one of the most important bio-indicators, since trends can provide considerable temporal and spatial information regarding ongoing changes (Menzel et al., 2006). Plant reproductive phenology is controlled by temperature, especially in tree species, and precipitation and photoperiod, especially in herbaceous ones (García-Mozo et al., 2000, 2009; Galán et al., 2005). It has been shown that plants flowering early in spring are more affected by warming than species flowering later in the year (Ahas et al., 2002; García-Mozo et al., 2002; Galán et al., 2005).

In Spain, temperatures, especially minimum temperature, have increased over the last century by around 1.5 °C the annual average (Fernández-González et al., 2005). Climate change has had a particularly marked effect on southern Spain, increasing temperatures and reducing rainfall. Rainfall has also become

increasingly torrential in recent years (Pita, 2003; De Castro et al., 2005).

Most long-term phenological studies to date have focused on northern Europe, while comparatively few have addressed the Mediterranean region (Gordo and Sanz, 2005; Peñuelas et al., 2002). The projections proposed by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and confirmed by the Spanish Meteorology Agency (AEMET) for the future Spanish climate, point to a continuing increase in temperatures and a decrease in annual rainfall (IPCC, 2007).

The present study, carried out by the University of Córdoba Aerobiology Research Group and the AEMET, sought to chart phenological trends in response to climate in the Andalusia region of southern Spain, and to compare *in situ* phenological data with airborne pollen counts in the same area. For this purpose, special attention was paid to the reproductive phenophases of anemophilous species.

## 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Phenological data

Andalusia boasts considerable climatic and topographical diversity. Regular phenological data series dating back to the mid-1980s from four sites were selected for this study, on the basis of unbroken data series availability, presence of anemophilous species and distance from aerobiological traps: Bujalance and

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 957218719.

E-mail address: [bv2gamoh@uco.es](mailto:bv2gamoh@uco.es) (H. García-Mozo).<sup>1</sup> Data contributors providing pollen data for this study include: Díaz de la Guardia, C. and Alba, F.

**Table 1**  
Characteristics of the study sites including distance to the province capital (km) where pollen monitoring was performed, altitude (m.a.s.l.), latitude and longitude coordinates, annual mean temperature ( $T^{\circ}$ ) and annual mean cumulated rainfall ( $R_f$ ).

Province	Localities	Distance	Altitude	Coordinates	$T^{\circ}$	$R_f$
Córdoba	Córdoba		123	37°50'N, 4°45'W	18.0	674
	Bujalance	42	222	37°54'N, 4°23'W	17.5	550
	Pozoblanco	86	649	38°23'N, 4°51'W	16.0	505
Granada	Granada		685	37°11'N, 3°35'W	15.5	462
	Charcones	62	1280	37°40'N, 2°94'W	13.9	300
	Huescar	100	1115	37°48'N, 2°33'W	14.8	367

Pozoblanco, in the province of Cordoba, central Andalusia; Charcones and Huescar, in the province of Granada, a more elevated area of eastern Andalusia (Table 1).

The following species were studied in the indicated dates: 2 oak species: holm-oak (*Quercus ilex* subsp. *ballota* (Desf.) Samp.) from 1989 to 2008 and gall oak (*Quercus faginea* Lam.) from 1989 to 2000; olive tree (*Olea europaea* L.) from 1986 to 2008; grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) from 1986 to 2007; and 3 Poaceae crop species: rye (*Secale cereale* L.) from 1989 to 2008, wheat (*Triticum vulgare* L.) from 1987 to 2008, and common barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) from 1987 to 2008. Studied periods varied depending on the site and phenophase.

The analysed species were chosen given their ecological or agricultural importance to the region. Typical vegetation of southern Spain is basically formed by Mediterranean forest and dehesas where the main arboreal genus is *Quercus* (estepalynous genus), and specially holm-oak. This species is the first flowering oak in Andalusia, being the main species contributing to the pollen curve where there are included pollen grains from all the *Quercus* species (García-Mozo et al., 2002; Gómez-Casero et al., 2004, 2007). Apart from its ecological importance, in Iberian Mediterranean ecosystems holm-oak acorn production is of vital economic importance because acorns are the major component in the feeding systems of high-quality Iberian domestic pigs. Regarding olive tree, Spain produces 33% of the world's olive oil, and Andalusia accounts for 80% of total Spanish output being the world's leading olive-oil-producing region. The largest olive-growing areas are concentrated in the provinces of Jaén and Cordoba. Another economically important species analysed in this work is the grape. Spain is the third worldwide winemaker country counting with the largest surface devoted to vineyards, being Andalusia the sixth region in Spain. The analysed variety was "Garnacha", one of the most abundant in the region, which has a medium range flowering time. Finally, although cultivated grass species contribute in a lower percentage than wild species to the grass pollen curve (estepalynous family), the analysed grass species selected for the present work were indicated as the crop species more represented in the Poaceae airborne pollen curve in Spain (Muñoz et al., 2000).


The following phenophases, taken directly at the field, were analysed once a week: flowering (appearance of first flowers in some individuals), fruit ripening (several ripe fruits present in several individuals), leaf unfolding (appearance of first leaves in some individuals) and leaf falling (branches have lost half their leaves).

Daily airborne pollen counts were monitored in the cities of Córdoba and Granada (Table 1). Hirst volumetric traps were used (Hirst, 1952). Daily samplings were stained and analysed by using optical microscopy following the rules laid down by the Spanish Aerobiological Network (REA) (Galán et al., 2007, [http://www.u-co.es/rea/infor\\_rea/manual\\_eng.pdf](http://www.u-co.es/rea/infor_rea/manual_eng.pdf)). Two important dates of the pollen season were analysed in the present work as indicators of the flowering period from the aerobiological data. The Pollen season Start date (PS) defined as the first day on which 1 pollen

grain/m<sup>3</sup> was recorded and the 5 following days recorded 1 or more pollen grains/m<sup>3</sup> (García-Mozo et al., 2000), and the Pollen season Peak day (PP) defined as the day when the maximum concentration was recorded.

## 2.2. Climate data

Daily temperature and rainfall data (1986–2008) from the study sites were used to evaluate the impact of climate on phenology, these variables being considered the most relevant for this purpose (Peñuelas et al., 2002; Gordo & Sanz, 2005). The warming index, anomalies from average temperature, for both cities from the start of the 20th century (Fig. 1) reveals a progressive rise in temperature, especially over recent years. During the study period (1986–2008), mean annual temperature increased by 4 °C in Córdoba and 3.2 °C in Granada.



**Fig. 1.** Warming index in Córdoba (a) and Granada (b) from 1917 to 2005.

### 2.3. Statistical analysis

Phenological events and weather data were subjected to a Spearman correlation test. Linear regression analysis was performed to calculate trend lines where  $R^2$  values were given and also  $p$  values in order to estimate the significance of these trend lines. The Excel Microsoft 2007 and STATISTICA 6.0 software packages were used. Results were considered significant at a 95% confidence interval.

### 3. Results

Phenological trends for the study species are shown in Table 2. Through the studied period, the flowering dates of holm-oak varied up to 60 days, with a significant average advance of 1.6 days per

year (27 days during the studied period). The PP in Córdoba city better matched field data at study sites in Córdoba province than the PS date. Although the PP trend line does not show a significant statistics due to the high variability in the data, both aerobiological dates are occurring increasingly earlier, with an overall advance of 7 and 12 days, respectively, over the period. In this species, fruit ripening advanced by 24 days at Pozoblanco and 36 at Huescar (significant trend gradient,  $p < 0.05$ ), leaf unfolding advanced by 25 days in *Q. faginea* at Huescar (significant trend gradient).

*In situ* observations of olive flowering advanced at the three studied sites, being significant in the two last ones (Table 2): Pozoblanco (6 days), Bujalance (40 days) and Huescar (40 days). Airborne pollen data suggested a less marked advance, nevertheless the PP curve better matched field data at study sites in Córdoba

**Table 2**  
Trends for different phenological stages. Site, species, phenological stages, gradient,  $R^2$ , significance ( $p$  value) and number of years ( $N$ ) are represented.

Site	Species	Phenophase	Gradient	$R^2$	$p$	$N$
Cordoba	<i>Quercus</i> spp.	Pollen season start	-0.77	0.21	0.05	17
		Pollen season peak	-0.41	0.04	0.41	17
	<i>Olea europaea</i>	Pollen season start	-0.31	0.03	0.49	20
		Pollen season peak	-0.34	0.04	0.38	20
	Poaceae	Pollen season start	-0.42	0.02	0.45	22
		Pollen season peak	-0.90	0.15	0.07	22
Pozoblanco	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	Flowering	-1.58	0.24	0.04	17
		Fruit ripening	-1.17	0.15	0.08	20
	<i>Olea europaea</i>	Flowering	-2.04	0.18	0.06	20
		Fruit ripening	-1.25	0.72	0.03	22
	<i>Triticum vulgare</i>	Flowering	-0.71	0.33	0.01	21
		Fruit ripening	-0.24	0.06	0.51	21
	<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>	Flowering	0.49	0.10	0.17	20
		Fruit ripening	0.07	0.00	0.74	21
Bujalance	<i>Olea europaea</i>	Flowering	-1.63	0.37	0.00	20
		Fruit ripening	-1.86	0.81	0.00	22
	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	Leaf fall	0.16	0.00	0.74	15
	<i>Triticum vulgare</i>	Flowering	-0.12	0.00	0.74	21
		Fruit ripening	-0.13	0.05	0.32	21
	<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>	Flowering	1.59	0.55	0.00	21
Fruit ripening		-0.14	0.00	0.20	21	
Granada	<i>Olea europaea</i>	Pollen season start	0.43	0.19	0.07	19
		Pollen season peak	-0.08	0.00	0.57	19
	Poaceae	Pollen season start	-0.13	0.00	0.88	16
		Pollen season peak	-1.70	0.29	0.04	16
Huescar	<i>Quercus faginea</i>	Foliation	-2.30	0.46	0.01	11
		Fruit ripening	-1.79	0.84	0.00	20
	<i>Olea europaea</i>	Flowering	-1.99	0.66	0.00	19
		Fruit ripening	0.07	0.00	0.00	22
	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	Foliation	-0.40	0.31	0.07	11
		Leaf fall	1.16	0.46	0.01	15
		Fruit ripening	-0.25	0.09	0.19	19
	<i>Secale cereale</i>	Flowering	-0.99	0.92	0.00	16
		Fruit ripening	-0.04	0.00	0.70	20
	Charcones	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	Foliation	-1.26	0.17	0.19
Fruit ripening			-0.09	0.00	0.49	19
<i>Secale cereale</i>		Flowering	-0.95	0.42	0.01	15
		Fruit ripening	-0.01	0.00	0.99	20
<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>		Flowering	-0.29	0.06	0.32	20
		Fruit ripening	-0.18	0.00	0.87	20

Phases: Fl, flowering; PP, pollen start; PP, pollen peak; FR, fruit ripening; FO, foliation; LF, leaf fall.

Meteorological factors:  $T_{max}$ , annual maximum temperature;  $T$ , annual mean temperature;  $T_{min}$ , annual minimum temperature;  $T_{j-a}$ , mean temperature from January to April;  $T_{j-jn}$ , mean temperature from January to June;  $T_{s-n}$ , mean temperature from September to November;  $T_{s-n}^{-1}$ , mean temperature from previous September to November;  $R_f$ , annual cumulated rainfall;  $R_{f-j-a}$ , cumulated rainfall from January to April;  $R_{f-j-jn}$ , cumulated rainfall from January to June;  $R_{f-s-n}$ , cumulated rainfall from September to November;  $R_{f-s-n}^{-1}$ , cumulated rainfall from previous September to November.



**Table 3**  
Spearman correlation values for each species and phenophases in Pozoblanco (a), Bujalance (b), Huescar (c) and Charcones (d). *p* values < 0.05 are highlighted in bold.

	Phase	$T_{mx}$	$T$	$T_{mn}$	$T_{j-a}$	$T_{j-jn}$	$T_{s-n}$	$T_{s-n}^{-1}$	$Rf$	$Rf_{j-a}$	$Rf_{j-jn}$	$Rf_{s-n}$	$Rf_{s-n}^{-1}$
(a)													
<i>Quercus ilex</i>	FI	<b>-0.35</b>	0.22	0.03	0.18	0.07		<b>0.50</b>	0.29	-0.10	0.03		-0.12
	PS	-0.12	-0.20	-0.14	-0.08	-0.16		-0.15	0.17	-0.19	<b>-0.33</b>		<b>-0.46</b>
	PP	<b>-0.24</b>	<b>-0.38</b>	<b>-0.27</b>	<b>-0.23</b>	<b>-0.24</b>		<b>0.15</b>	0.03	0.01	0.00		<b>-0.47</b>
	FR	<b>-0.62</b>	<b>-0.41</b>	<b>-0.34</b>	<b>-0.26</b>	<b>-0.54</b>	-0.15	<b>0.34</b>	<b>-0.35</b>	<b>-0.46</b>	<b>-0.28</b>	<b>-0.42</b>	-0.13
<i>Olea europaea</i>	FI	<b>-0.38</b>	<b>-0.22</b>	<b>-0.33</b>	<b>-0.20</b>	<b>-0.44</b>		<b>0.37</b>	-0.29	<b>-0.55</b>	<b>-0.49</b>		<b>-0.48</b>
	PS	<b>-0.53</b>	<b>-0.53</b>	<b>-0.54</b>	<b>-0.38</b>	<b>-0.51</b>		-0.14	0.19	<b>-0.24</b>	<b>-0.32</b>		<b>-0.62</b>
	PP	<b>-0.46</b>	-0.17	-0.09	0.10	<b>-0.35</b>		0.09	0.22	<b>0.32</b>	<b>0.33</b>		0.08
	FR	<b>-0.54</b>	<b>-0.47</b>	<b>-0.37</b>	<b>-0.31</b>	<b>-0.35</b>	-0.01	<b>0.17</b>	<b>-0.37</b>	<b>-0.56</b>	<b>-0.46</b>	-0.08	-0.21
<i>Triticum vulgare</i>	FI	<b>-0.28</b>	<b>-0.21</b>	-0.02	0.02	<b>-0.15</b>		<b>0.47</b>	0.20	<b>-0.26</b>	-0.10		-0.25
	PS	0.18	0.14	-0.04	-0.15	-0.05		-0.14	<b>-0.26</b>	<b>-0.25</b>	<b>-0.33</b>		-0.02
	PP	<b>-0.19</b>	-0.08	-0.04	0.19	0.06		-0.08	0.17	-0.02	0.04		0.09
	FR	<b>-0.21</b>	0.28	0.04	-0.05	<b>-0.19</b>		-0.05	-0.05	-0.04	0.01		-0.02
<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>	FI	0.29	-0.02	-0.11	0.29	-0.03		0.03	0.30	<b>0.23</b>	0.07		-0.18
	PS	0.18	0.14	-0.04	-0.15	-0.05		-0.14	<b>-0.26</b>	<b>-0.25</b>	<b>-0.33</b>		-0.02
	PP	<b>-0.19</b>	-0.08	-0.04	0.19	0.06		-0.08	0.17	-0.02	0.04		0.09
	FR	-0.02	0.35	0.16	0.16	-0.09		-0.05	0.06	-0.04	0.09		0.21
(b)													
<i>Olea europaea</i>	FI	<b>-0.40</b>	<b>-0.45</b>	<b>-0.32</b>	<b>-0.23</b>	<b>-0.37</b>		0.26	0.17	0.04	0.04		0.24
	PS	-0.17	-0.16	-0.06	-0.08	-0.18		<b>-0.30</b>	0.02	0.22	0.11		0.01
	PP	-0.13	0.03	<b>0.32</b>	0.21	0.22		0.30	0.16	0.05	0.11		-0.02
	FR	<b>-0.57</b>	<b>-0.62</b>	<b>-0.38</b>	<b>-0.32</b>	<b>-0.38</b>	<b>-0.56</b>	<b>0.56</b>	0.24	0.09	0.06	0.13	0.11
<i>Triticum vulgare</i>	FI	0.04	-0.11	<b>-0.35</b>	<b>-0.30</b>	<b>-0.30</b>		-0.18	<b>-0.27</b>	<b>-0.24</b>	-0.20		0.23
	PS	-0.21	<b>-0.27</b>	<b>-0.24</b>	0.06	<b>-0.23</b>		<b>-0.33</b>	-0.11	<b>-0.25</b>	<b>-0.30</b>		0.22
	PP	-0.13	-0.02	0.21	0.12	0.09		<b>0.37</b>	0.15	-0.20	-0.12		0.03
	FR	-0.17	-0.02	<b>-0.29</b>	-0.03	-0.09		0.21	<b>-0.33</b>	-0.11	-0.19		0.17
<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>	FI	<b>-0.38</b>	<b>-0.33</b>	-0.07	-0.12	-0.22		-0.32	-0.11	0.11	-0.02		-0.04
	PS	-0.21	<b>-0.27</b>	<b>-0.24</b>	0.06	<b>-0.23</b>		<b>-0.33</b>	-0.11	<b>-0.25</b>	<b>-0.30</b>		0.22
	PP	-0.13	-0.02	0.21	0.12	0.09		<b>0.37</b>	0.15	-0.20	-0.12		0.03
	FR	-0.05	0.09	-0.29	-0.25	-0.19		<b>0.51</b>	-0.05	0.28	-0.08		0.07
<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	LF	<b>0.63</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.16</b>	0.09	0.04	<b>-0.22</b>	0.09	0.21	0.06	0.03	<b>0.30</b>	0.10
(c)													
<i>Quercus faginea</i>	FO	<b>-0.33</b>	<b>-0.40</b>	<b>-0.41</b>	<b>-0.75</b>			0.17	<b>-0.33</b>	-0.13			0.03
<i>Quercus ilex</i>	PS	-0.11	-0.08	-0.04	-0.10	<b>-0.33</b>		0.01	<b>0.33</b>	0.07	-0.05		<b>-0.61</b>
	PP	<b>-0.52</b>	<b>-0.42</b>	-0.25	0.02	-0.22		-0.07	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.52</b>	<b>0.44</b>		0.29
	FR	<b>-0.70</b>	<b>-0.72</b>	<b>-0.64</b>	<b>-0.58</b>	<b>-0.69</b>	-0.60	-0.31	-0.16	-0.08	-0.12	-0.03	-0.28
<i>Olea europaea</i>	FI	<b>-0.74</b>	<b>-0.71</b>	<b>-0.59</b>	<b>-0.73</b>	<b>-0.78</b>		-0.18	-0.25	-0.08	-0.01		-0.05
	PS	0.09	0.15	0.18	-0.01	<b>-0.39</b>		<b>0.33</b>	<b>0.47</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.36</b>		<b>-0.47</b>
	PP	-0.08	<b>-0.27</b>	<b>-0.47</b>	<b>-0.36</b>	<b>-0.27</b>		-0.36	<b>-0.34</b>	-0.18	-0.12		<b>-0.26</b>
	FR	-0.10	-0.04	0.06	0.12	-0.03	0.15	<b>0.66</b>	0.20	0.24	<b>0.33</b>	<b>-0.31</b>	0.12
<i>Secale cereale</i>	FI	<b>-0.59</b>	<b>-0.57</b>	<b>-0.44</b>	-0.63	-0.67		<b>-0.46</b>	0.03	0.02	0.03	-0.02	-0.17
	PS	<b>-0.36</b>	<b>-0.44</b>	<b>-0.46</b>	<b>-0.26</b>	-0.19		<b>-0.39</b>	-0.34	-0.41	-0.43	0.08	0.21
	PP	-0.17	<b>-0.31</b>	<b>-0.44</b>	-0.36	-0.16		-0.27	-0.23	-0.28	-0.33	0.13	-0.25
	FR	<b>-0.52</b>	<b>-0.42</b>	-0.25	0.02	-0.22		-0.07	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.52</b>	0.44	0.20	0.29
<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	LF	<b>0.27</b>	0.21	0.09	0.18	0.20	<b>0.25</b>	<b>-0.39</b>	-0.18	-0.42	-0.45	-0.18	0.17
	FR	<b>-0.32</b>	<b>-0.30</b>	-0.24	-0.14	<b>-0.39</b>	-0.16	0.23	0.00	0.11	0.12	-0.24	-0.25
	FO	<b>-0.63</b>	<b>-0.62</b>	<b>-0.48</b>	-0.53	<b>-0.57</b>		<b>0.36</b>	-0.07	0.05	0.22		-0.04
(d)													
<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>	FI	-0.01	-0.20	<b>-0.38</b>	<b>-0.50</b>	<b>-0.24</b>		0.17	0.31	0.12	<b>0.33</b>		<b>0.28</b>
	PS	0.21	-0.01	<b>-0.23</b>	0.05	0.03		0.21	0.10	<b>0.31</b>	0.11		0.09
	PP	-0.03	-0.07	-0.08	-0.13	0.02		0.16	0.10	-0.13	0.31		<b>0.34</b>
	FR	<b>-0.37</b>	<b>-0.43</b>	<b>-0.39</b>	<b>-0.38</b>	<b>-0.39</b>		-0.30	<b>0.42</b>	<b>0.38</b>	0.22		<b>0.28</b>
<i>Triticum vulgare</i>	FI	<b>-0.81</b>	<b>-0.80</b>	<b>-0.70</b>	-0.28	<b>-0.82</b>		-0.03	-0.04	<b>0.37</b>	0.14		0.26
	PS	0.21	-0.01	<b>-0.23</b>	0.05	0.03		0.21	0.10	<b>0.31</b>	0.11		0.09
	PP	-0.03	-0.07	-0.08	-0.13	0.02		0.16	0.10	-0.13	0.31		<b>0.34</b>
	FR	-0.11	-0.19	-0.23	0.12	-0.35		-0.08	<b>0.52</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.34</b>		0.06
<i>Secale cereale</i>	FI	<b>-0.36</b>	<b>-0.45</b>	<b>-0.43</b>	-0.07	-0.16		0.17	0.14	-0.05	0.11		0.11
	PS	0.21	-0.01	<b>-0.23</b>	0.05	0.03		0.21	0.10	<b>0.31</b>	0.11		0.09
	PP	-0.03	-0.07	-0.08	-0.13	0.02		0.16	0.10	-0.13	0.31		<b>0.34</b>
	FR	<b>-0.36</b>	<b>-0.41</b>	<b>-0.36</b>	-0.25	<b>-0.39</b>		-0.24	<b>0.43</b>	<b>0.40</b>	0.19		0.19
<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	FR	<b>-0.29</b>	<b>-0.24</b>	-0.17	0.39	0.12	<b>-0.77</b>	<b>-0.53</b>	0.12	0.19	0.05	<b>0.33</b>	0.11
	FO	<b>-0.32</b>	<b>-0.09</b>	-0.17	-0.46	-0.32		-0.04	<b>0.60</b>	-0.19	0.27		-0.01

province than the PS dates, except for the years 1998–2001 in Pozoblanco. In the case of Huescar (Granada) phenological data trend was more similar to PS dates. Also olive fruit ripening advanced significantly in the sites located in the province of Córdoba although no significant trend gradient was revealed in Huescar (Granada).

Over the period 1989–2000, *V. vinifera* leaf unfolding advanced an average of 10 days, and leaf life was extended up to 20 days in the case of Huescar; although an advance in the fruit ripening time was observed in Charcones (40 days) there was no significant change in the timing of fruit ripening in Huescar. Regarding herbaceous species, *S. cereale* flowering advanced with an average of 16 days between 1989 and 2006 at the Granada province sites. Field data suggested a great delay with regard to pollen dates, although the trend was similar and significant except for the Start date values. Fruit ripening in *S. cereale* did not display any noteworthy trend between 1989 and 2008. Results for *T. vulgare* in Córdoba province revealed a general advance in flowering, significant in the case of field data of Pozoblanco whereas no significant trends were detected in fruit ripening. Finally phenological data for *H. vulgare* indicated a flowering delay of 7 days in Pozoblanco and 31 days in Bujalance. By contrast, flowering in Charcones (Granada) showed a slight advance and fruit ripening at all sites appeared largely unchanged over the study period. It is noticeable that the herbaceous species showed the less coincident trends of field phenological data and aerobiological data and the lower significance values for both types of data. In the case of *T. vulgare* and *H. vulgare* the aerobiological phase most coinciding with field phenological data was the PS curve.

Spearman analysis results showed in Table 3 indicated a negative correlation between various cumulative sums of average daily temperature and most of the flowering and fruiting events, especially in tree species. *V. vinifera* leaf fall displayed significant positive correlation with temperature (Table 3b and c). Temperature over the preceding autumn correlated positively with most phenological phases in tree species. For some herbaceous species, there was also some correlation with temperature over the preceding months, as well as a weak but still-significant correlation with rainfall over previous months.

#### 4. Discussion

Although with some delay, probably due to the distance between field phenological stations and aerobiological stations, field phenological and aerobiological data showed similar patterns in the study species, especially in tree species. The higher differences in the herbaceous species patterns are probably influenced because cultivated grass species (as the observed in the present work) contribute in a lower percentage than wild species to the grass pollen curve (Muñoz et al., 2000; Subiza et al., 1992). In general the results indicated a general trend towards earlier onset of phenological phases, except for *H. vulgare*. Shifts in leaf phenology were stronger than those previously reported for Europe by Menzel & Fabian (1999), who noted a 6-day advance in leaf unfolding and a 4.8-day delay in leaf fall over a 30-year period. The increase in growing-season length due to the earlier start recorded here also exceeds the 7 days reported for Europe between the 1960s and the 1990s, but largely coincides with the effect reported from the 1980s onwards (Keeling et al., 1996). The observed advance was also more marked than that found in North America by Bradley et al. (1999) and Abu-Asab et al. (2001). The findings of the present study agree with those reported for other areas of southern Europe, in pointing to a bringing-forward of pollen season start dates and peak pollen counts in *O. europaea* and *Quercus* species, and to a lesser extent in Poaceae (García-Mozo et al., 2008a, 2008b, 2009).

Although we must take into account the low significance in some of the results due to a high degree of variability in the analysed dates, the data recorded here suggest a more marked advance in southern than in north-eastern Spain, where Peñuelas et al. (2002) report during 1952–2000, an advance of 7.8 days for *Q. ilex* flowering and 17 days for *Vitis* leaf unfolding, while flowering for *O. europaea* and *Q. faginea* displayed no significant trends. Data for a north region of Spain, show a lack of any apparent trend in the phenology of herbaceous crops, similarly to the results obtained in the present work.

The increase in mean annual temperatures over the study period appears to be the most marked since the mid-1970s, thus matching Mediterranean and global trends (Jones et al., 1999; IPCC, 2001). The detected increment of temperature in Spain (1.5 °C) during the last century has been greater than those calculated for the world global average (0.6 ± 0.2 °C) or for Europe (0.5 °C) (IPCC, 2001, 2007). Climate change has had a particularly marked effect on southern Spain, increasing temperatures up to 4 °C in last years (INM, 2002; De Castro, 2005; Ayala-Carcedo, 2004). Changes in rainfall patterns have been less pronounced, although a slight drop in annual rainfall was recorded for Andalusia over the last few years. Also during this period rainfall has also become increasingly torrential (Pita, 2003; De Castro et al., 2005).

Phenological changes displayed a strong correlation with temperature changes and especially with temperature over the months prior to the phenophase. The months preceding any given phenological event appeared to be the most important for the majority of the species. It was noteworthy that the previous autumn temperature influenced flowering in tree species, probably because temperature in this period is related to vernalisation and to chilling requirements; the amount of chilling the plant receives in autumn is related to heat accumulation in spring. The correlations between flowering and fruit ripening and rainfall were mostly slight, although the correlation was more marked for grass species – which displayed fewer phenological changes over the study period – than for tree species. The shift towards more arid conditions, owing to increased temperatures and to the slight drop in rainfall recorded in Andalusia, may strengthen this correlation in the near future (Peñuelas et al., 2002).

At the same time, the potential effects of airborne CO<sub>2</sub> levels on phenological phases such as flowering and fruiting cannot be ruled out (Peñuelas et al., 1995). Global climate warming seems to be the main cause of phenological changes, but other factors – including less rainfall and CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment – may also affect them. Field phenological and aerobiological data are important bio-indicators of the ongoing ecosystem change, and can provide a general idea of how future climate change may be the major driver of changes in biodiversity.

#### 5. Conclusions

Flowering patterns matched aerobiological data for the study species, and particularly for trees. Preliminary results suggested a general advance in flowering, foliation, and fruit ripening for most of the species examined in this study, especially significant for flowering dates. That general advance was more marked in trees than in herbaceous species, in which flowering dates – more closely determined by water availability – displayed little change. The advance of phenological phases in trees was more evident than in the rest of the studied species. Increased temperature was the main factor affecting the advance in foliation, flowering and fruit ripening and the delay in leaf fall dates. Herbaceous species were more affected than tree species by changes in rainfall patterns. In conclusion, field phenological data and aerobiological data are important bio-indicators of ongoing ecosystem changes, and can

provide a general idea of how future climate change may be the major driver of changes in biodiversity.

## References

- Abu-Asab, M., Peterson, P.M., Shetler, S.G., Orly, S., 2001. Earlier plant flowering in spring as a response to global warming in Washington DC area. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 10, 597–612.
- Ahas, R., Aasa, A., Menzel, A., Fedotova, V.G., Scheifinger, H., 2002. Changes in European spring phenology. *International Journal of Climatology* 22 (14), 1727–1738.
- Ayalá-Carcedo, J., 2004. La realidad del cambio climático en España y sus principales impactos ecológicos y socioeconómicos. *Industria y Minería* 358, 10–29.
- Bradley, N.L., Leopold, A.C., Ross, J., Huffaker, W., 1999. Phenological changes reflect climate change in Wisconsin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences United States of America* 96 (17), 9701–9704.
- De Castro, M., Martín-Vide, J., Alonso, S., 2005. El clima de España: pasado, presente y escenarios de clima para el siglo XXI. In: Moreno Rodríguez, J.M. (Ed.), *Evaluación preliminar de los impactos en España por efecto del cambio climático*. Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, Madrid, pp. 1–65.
- Fernández-González, F., Loidi, J., Moreno, J.C., del Arco, M., Fernández-Cancio, A., Galán, C., García-Mozo, H., Muñoz, J., Pérez-Badía, R., Sardinero, S., Tellería, M., 2005. Impact on plant biodiversity. In: Moreno, J.M. (Ed.), *Impacts on Climatic Change in Spain*. OCCE. Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, Madrid, Spain, pp. 183–248.
- Galán, C., García-Mozo, H., Vázquez, L., Ruiz-Valenzuela, L., Díaz de la Guardia, C., Trigo-Pérez, M., 2005. Heat requirement for the onset of the *Olea europaea* L. Pollen season in several places of Andalusia region and the effect of the expected future climate change. *International Journal of Biometeorology* 49 (3), 184–188.
- Galán, C., Cariñanos, P., Alcázar, P., Dominguez, E., 2007. Quality Manual. Spanish Aerobiology Network (REA) Servicio Publicaciones Universidad de Córdoba, Córdoba, Spain [http://www.uco.es/rea/infor\\_rea/manual\\_eng.pdf](http://www.uco.es/rea/infor_rea/manual_eng.pdf).
- García-Mozo, H., Galán, C., Gómez-Casero, M.T., Dominguez, E., 2000. A comparative study of different temperature accumulation methods for predicting the Quercus pollen season start in Córdoba (Southwest Spain). *Grana* 39, 194–199.
- García-Mozo, H., Galán, C., Aira, M.J., Belmonte, J., Díaz de la Guardia, C., Fernández, D., Gutiérrez, A.M., Rodríguez, F.J., Trigo, M.M., Dominguez-Vilches, E., 2002. Modelling start of oak pollen season in different climatic zones in Spain. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 110, 247–257.
- García-Mozo, H., Chuine, I., Aira, M.J., Belmonte, J., Bermejo, D., Díaz de la Guardia, C., Elvira, B., Gutiérrez, M., Rodríguez-Rajo, J., Ruiz, L., Trigo, M.M., Tormo, R., Valencia, R., Galán, C., 2008a. Regional phenological models for forecasting the start and peak of the *Quercus* pollen season in Spain. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 148, 372–380.
- García-Mozo, H., Orlandi, F., Galan, C., Fornaciari, M., Romano, B., Ruiz, L., Díaz de la Guardia, C., Trigo, M.M., Chuine, I., 2008b. Olive flowering phenology variation between different cultivars in Spain and Italy: modelling analysis. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, doi:10.1007/s00704-008-0016-6.
- García-Mozo, H., Galán, C., Belmonte, J., Bermejo, D., Candau, P., Díaz de la Guardia, C., Elvira, B., Gutiérrez, M., Jato, V., Silva, I., Trigo, M.M., Valencia, R., Chuine, I., 2009. Predicting the start and peak dates of the Poaceae pollen season in Spain using process-based models. *Agriculture and Forest Meteorology* 149, 256–262.
- Gómez-Casero, M.T., Hidalgo, P., García-Mozo, H., Domínguez, E., Galán, C., 2004. Pollen biology in four Mediterranean *Quercus* species. *Grana* 43, 1–9.
- Gómez-Casero, M.T., Galán, C., Domínguez-Vilches, E., 2007. Flowering phenology of Mediterranean *Quercus* species in different locations (Córdoba, SW Iberian Peninsula). *Acta Botánica Malacitana* 32, 127–146.
- Gordo, O., Sanz, J.J., 2005. Phenology and climate change: a long-term study in a Mediterranean locality. *Oecologia* 146, 484–495.
- Hirst, J., 1952. An automatic volumetric spore-trap. *Annals of Applied Biology* 36, 257–265.
- Instituto Nacional de Meteorología (INM), 2002. Valores normales y estadísticos de observatorios meteorológicos principales (1971–2000), vols. 1–5.
- IPCC, 2001. Climate change 2001: the scientific basis. In: Houghton, J.T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D.J., Noguer, M., van der Linden, P.J., Dai, X., Maskell, K., Johnson, C.A. (Eds.), *Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom/New York, NY, USA, 881 pp.
- IPCC, 2007. Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. In: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B., Tignor, M., Miller, H.L. (Eds.), *Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom/New York, NY, USA, 996 pp.
- Jones, P.D., New, M., Parker, D.E., Martin, S., Rigor, I.G., 1999. Surface air temperature and its changes over the past 150 years. *Reviews of Geophysics* 37, 173–199.
- Keeling, C.D., Chin, J.F.S., Whorf, T.P., 1996. Increased activity of northern vegetation inferred from atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> measurements. *Nature* 382, 146–149.
- Menzel, A., Fabian, P., 1999. Growing season extended in Europe. *Nature* 397, 659.
- Menzel, A., Sparks, T.H., Estrella, N., Koch, E., Aasa, A., Ahas, R., Alm-Kübler, K., Bissolli, P., Braslavská, O., Briede, A., Chmielewski, F.M., Crepinsek, Z., Curnel, Y., Dahl, Á., Defila, C., Donnelly, A., Filella, Y., Jatczak, K., Mäge, F., Mestre, A., Nordli, Ø., Peñuelas, J., Pirinen, P., Remišová, V., Scheifinger, H.M., Striz, A., Susnik, A., Van Vliet, J.H., Wielgolaski, F.E., Züst, A.S.Z., 2006. European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern. *Global Change Biology* 12 (10), 1969–1976.
- Muñoz, A.F., Silva, I., Tormo, R., 2000. The relationships between Poaceae pollination levels and cereal yields. *Aerobiologia* 16, 281–286.
- Peñuelas, J., Biel, C., Estiarte, M., 1995. Growth, biomass allocation, and phenology of pepper plants submitted to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and different nitrogen and water availabilities. *Photosynthetica* 31, 91–99.
- Peñuelas, J., Filella, I., Comas, P., 2002. Changed plant and animal life cycles from 1952 to 2000 in the Mediterranean region. *Global Change Biology* 8, 531–544.
- Pita, M.F., 2003. El Clima de Andalucía. In: López-Ontiveros, A. (Ed.), *Geografía de Andalucía*. Ariel, Barcelona, Spain, pp. 137–174.
- Subiza, J., Maseillo, J.M., Subiza, J.L., Jerez, M., Hinojosa, M., Subiza, E., 1992. Prediction of annual variations in atmospheric concentrations of grass pollen. A method based on meteorological factors and grain crop estimates. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* 22, 540–546.