



# An approach for the evolutionary discovery of software architectures

#### <u>Aurora Ramírez</u>, José Raúl Romero, Sebastián Ventura

Dept. Computer Science and Numerical Analysis. University of Córdoba.

XXI Jornadas en Ingeniería del Software y Bases de Datos (JISBD) Salamanca (Spain). 13-16 September 2016

II Track on Search Based Software Engineering (SBSE)

## Content

- 1. Introduction
- 2. The search problem
- 3. Evolutionary approach
- 4. Experimental study
- 5. Conclusions

A. Ramírez, J.R. Romero, S. Ventura. "An approach for the evolutionary discovery of software architectures". *Information Sciences*, vol. 305, pp. 324-255. 2015.





## Introduction

- Software architects face complex design decisions
  - Software structure, platforms, styles...
  - Functional and non-functional requirements
  - Few information at this stage of the development
- Search Based Software Engineering
  - Support in decision making
  - Exploration of design alternatives



## The search problem

 We want to automatically identify the underlying architecture from an analysis model (represented as a class diagram)



- It can be a too demanding, complex and time-consuming task
- Evolutionary algorithms may serve to (semi-)automate the process of finding optimal software architectures
- A extremely high combinatorial problem

### The search problem Research questions

RQ1: Can single-objective evolutionary algorithms help the software engineer to identify an initial candidate architecture of a system at a high level of abstraction?



RQ2: How does the configuration of the algorithm influence both the evolutionary performance and the quality of the returned solution?



### Evolutionary approach Key elements



#### Evolutionary approach Illustrative example



[7/9]

## **Experimental study**

	-	
1.14		

#### **Parameter study**

Selection	deterministic / tournament / roulette
Replacement	best / competition / elitism / <b>elitism (10%)</b> / binary tournament
Mutation	$[0.1, 0.6] - P_{add} = 0.2  $ $P_{remove} = P_{merge} = 0.1  $ $P_{split} = P_{move} = 0.3$
Population Size	50, 100, <b>150</b> , 200
Stopping criterion	convergence every 1200 evaluations: 20000-24000

#### **Experimental results**

- Optimal or near optimal values for GCR
- ICD and ERP require to strike a balance
- Without assuming any structure, it can identify related functional blocks
- Importance of the number and types of relationships among classes

## Conclusions

- Evolutionary Computation as an exploratory mechanism to decision support
  - Identify blocks of related functionality
  - Without assuming any structure
- The search approach is close to the architect
  - Flexible and comprehensible representation
  - Architectural transformations with heuristic information
  - Fitness function based on design metrics

# An approach for the evolutionary discovery of software architectures

## **Thanks!**



XXI Jornadas en Ingeniería del Software y Bases de Datos (JISBD) Salamanca (Spain). 13-16 September 2016

II Track on Search Based Software Engineering (SBSE)