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SUMMARY

The reproductive performance of two breeds of Mexican Native Pig (MNP) was studied. Mexican Hairless Pigs (MHP) and Cuino Pigs (CP) which belong to the MNP, were raised under agricultural-grazing conditions in the State of Nayarit, Mexico. Reproductive variables were measured in 50 farrowings from MHP and 29 from CP. No differences were recorded on, total pigs born/litter (6.22), number of stillbirths (0.67) and mummies (0.08), weaned litter (23.20 kg) and piglet weight (5.28 kg), but number and weight of born alive/litter and piglet weight and, figures were higher for MHP (6.04, 6.32 and 1.01, respectively), but CP weaned more piglets (4.95; p<0.01). No differences were found for age at first farrowing (547.65), gestation (113.38) and lactating days (38.90), days from weaning to effective service (11.19), and interval between farrowings (159.06).

INTRODUCTION

The implications of the Mexican Native Pigs (MNP) in rural communities is doubly important, on the one
hand it improves the farmer or peasants diet, and on the other, the pigs are fattened up to be sold (Lemus et al., 1999; Suárez and Barkin, 1990). Nonetheless, several different studies done with the MNP, do not give the modern pig a chance, deeming it a non improved breed without commercial traits. According to different studies, the Mexican Hairless Pig (MHP) has adapted to different ecological conditions (Alonso-Spilsbury et al., 1998, 2000), that include infectious and nutritional factors (Chel et al., 1983).

Several studies, basically dissertations on the MHP compare the productive and reproductive performance, without there be dissertations on the Cuino Pigs (CP). Historically, the MNP are raised in rural conditions under weak technological conditions, having to take advantage of natural grub like tuber, forage, and agricultural feedstuffs. These pigs have the ability to produce body fat and adapt to local conditions. Probably their populations have genetically pre determined useful characteristics, they could represent a genetic reserve to obtain well-adapted national varieties. It can not be considered a pure breed because there are no systematic selection programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>GLOBAL</th>
<th>MHP</th>
<th>CP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPB</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAP</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALW</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BWLP</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLW</td>
<td>23.20</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPW</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFF</td>
<td>547.65</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GD</td>
<td>113.38</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>108.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>38.90</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWES</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF</td>
<td>159.06</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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since they seem to be heterozygous populations. On occasions they are bred, but they preserve a high degree of their population’s characteristics (Lemus-Flores et al., 2001). Due to its fat production the MNP has a productive chance, it has been proved that the products elaborated with their meat have better quality, appearance and taste, giving an extra value to the pork through the elaboration of good Iberic quality products (Rubio and Méndez, 2000). It is important to know the measurements that characterize the native pig, that in the future will be used as a reference and comparison point in the advances and improvement of this breeds.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

To study the reproductive performance, 50 farrowings from the MHP and 29 from the CP were analyzed. Parity number varied from 1 to 3. Variables measured were: Total Pigs Born/Litter (TPB), Born Alive Piglets/litter (BAP), Stillbirths (S), Mummies (M), Born Alive Litter Weight (BALW), Birth Weight of Live Piglets (BWLP), Weaned Piglets (WP), Weaned Litter Weight (WLW), Weaned Piglet Weight (WPW), Age at First Farrowing (AFF), Gestation Days (GD), Lactating Days (LD), Days from Weaning to Effective Service (DWES), and Interval between Farrowings (IF).

According to these variables the next statistical models were used in the variance analysis:

\[ Y_{1_{ij}} = \mu + \text{MNP population}_i + \epsilon_{ij} \]
\[ Y_{2_{ijk}} = \mu + \text{MNP population}_i + \text{Parity number}_j + b_{x} + \epsilon_{ijk} \]

\[ Y_{3_{jk}} \text{ and } Y_{4_{ijk}} = \mu + \text{MNP population}_i + \text{Parity number}_j + \beta_{x} + \epsilon_{ijk} \]

\[ Y_{1} = \text{AFF}, Y_{2} = \text{TPB}, \text{GD}, \text{LD}, \text{DWES} \text{ and IF}. Y_{3} = \text{BAP}, \text{S}, \text{M}, \text{BWLP} \text{ and BALW}; \text{ and } b_{x} \text{ were effects of co-variable TPB}. Y_{4} = \text{WP}, \text{WLW}, \text{and WPW}; \text{ and } \beta_{x} \text{ were effects of co-variable BAP.} \]

All variables in unvaried analysis. \( \epsilon \) was the aleatory error.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For the reproductive variables TPB, M, WLW, and WPW no significant statistical differences were found (p>0.05) between MHP and CP (table I); whereas variables BAP, BALW, and BWLP were statistically different (p<0.01) between the studied breeds, higher figures were found for MHP, although CP weaned more piglets (p<0.01). The figures for both native breeds were lower than those reported for modern pigs, this has been confirmed by other investigations, indicating that creole pigs are not prolific, had similar performance as the local pig breeds in Latin America (Romano et al., 1980; Benitez and Sánchez, 2001), and have not been improved over the last 500 years, since their arrival to America. Although it can raise as many pigs as the modern lines through the natural induction of pregnancy during lactation (Mota et al., 2002). Tello and Cisneros (1990) found that the MHP under confinement conditions showed no differences in: days of pregnancy, days from weaning until first service, and percentage of pre-weaning mortality when it was compared with other modern breeds.

No significant statistic differences (p>0.05) were found between both
CONCLUSIONS

The MHP had more born alive piglets, born alive litter weight, and birth weight of live piglets; on the other hand, the Cuino sow weaned more piglets. For other reproductive characteristics no differences were found between MHP and CP breeds.
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