

Genetic parameters for the size of udder cisterns in ewes diagnosed by ultrasonography among breeds: Improved Valachian, Tsigai, Lacaune and their crosses

Makovický, Pa.¹®; Milerski, M.²; Margetín, M.^{3,4}; Makovický, Pe.⁵ and Nagy, M.¹

¹Department of Biology. J. Selye University Pedagogical Faculty. Komárno. Slovak Republic.

²Institute of Animal Science. Prague. Czech Republic.

³Department of Animal Production. Faculty of Agrobiology and Food Resources. Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra. Nitra. Slovak Republic.

⁴National Agricultural and Food Centre. Research Institute for Animal Production Nitra. Lužianky. Slovak Republic.

⁵Laboratory of Veterinary Histopathology in Komárno. Komárno. Slovak Republic.

SUMMARY

ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS

Ewes.

Genetic and phenotypic correlations.

Udder cisterns.

Ultrasonography.

Udder cistern size in ewes was diagnosed by ultrasonography, using a 3.5MHz linear probe applied laterally *from side* or ventrally *from bottom*. The acquired ultrasound images were used to digitally determine the left and right cistern sizes. Both cisterns were scanned approximately 12 hours after the last milking. The area of the left (ALC1; 1933.35 mm²) and right udder cisterns (ARC1; 1970.72 mm²), were determined using *from side* scans of 378 ewes, obtained at different phases of the lactation cycle), for a total of 1198 measurements. The area of the left (ALC2; 2137.67 mm²) and right udder cisterns (ARC2; 2171.12 mm²) as determined using *from bottom* scans, from 265 ewes; for a total of 753 measurements. The sums of both cross-sectional areas detected by the method *from side* (SLRC1) was 3904.07 mm², and by the method *from bottom* (SLRC2) was 4308.77 mm². Primary data were processed using REML methodology and the multiple trait animal model, using the programs REMLF90 and VCE 4.0. In the models, *animal* was ascribed as a random additive genetic effect and *ewe* as a permanent effect. Control year (7 or 5 levels), lactation stage (4 levels), breed group (9 levels) and parity (3 levels) were all ascribed as fixed effects. We found higher values of heritability (h^2) for the parameters determined by the method *from bottom*. Heritability coefficients for ALC1 and ALC2 were 0.07 and 0.18 respectively, for ARC1 and ARC2 were 0.17 and 0.2 respectively, and for SLRC1 and SLRC2 were 0.12 and 0.17 respectively. Genetic correlations between ARC1 and ALC1 or ARC2 and ALC2 were high ($r_g = 0.73$ and 0.91). Similarly, the correlations between the size of left and/or right cistern and the total size of both cisterns were high using both ways of scanning ($r_g = 0.90$ to 0.98). In conclusion, measuring the size of the udder cisterns *from side* is recommended, although measurements *from bottom* show slightly higher heritability coefficients.

Paramètres génétiques de la taille des citernes de lait chez les brebis diagnostiqués par échographie parmi les races: Improved Valachian, Tsigai, Lacaune et leurs croisements

RÉSUMÉ

MOTS-CLÉS ADITIONNELLES

Brebis.

Corrélations génétiques et phénotypiques.

Des cisternes de la mamelle.

Échographie.

La taille de la citerne de lait a été diagnostiquée chez les brebis en utilisant l'échographie et la sonde linéaire de 3,5 MHz de deux façons: La méthode de côté et celle de bas. L'échographie a été faite à partir de chaque scan et ensuite la taille du réservoir à gauche et à droite a été mesurée en utilisant la technique numérique. Les deux cisternes ont été scannées environ 12 heures après la dernière traite. L'espace gauche de la citerne (ALC1; 1.933.35 mm²) et celui de droite (ARC1; 1.970.72 mm²), détectés par la méthode de côté, ont été diagnostiqués à plusieurs reprises chez 378 brebis (pendant l'allaitement ainsi qu'entre lactations); un total de 1198 mesures ont été effectuées. L'espace gauche de la citerne (ALC2; 2.137.67 mm²) et de droite (ARC2; 2.171.12 mm²), détectés par la méthode du bas, ont également été diagnostiqués à plusieurs reprises, notamment chez 265 brebis; 753 mesures ont été réalisées au total. La somme des deux zones de section transversale détectées par la méthode de côté (SLRC1) était de 3904.07 mm², et celle détectée par la méthode de fond (SLRC2) était 4308.77 mm². Les données primaires ont été traitées en utilisant la méthodologie REML et le modèle animal trait multiple, en utilisant des programmes comme REMLF90 et VCE 4.0. En plus de l'effet génétique additif aléatoire des animaux et l'effet permanent des brebis, les modèles incluent l'année de contrôle comme facteur fixe (7 ou 5 niveaux), un stade de lactation (4 niveaux), un groupe de race (9 niveaux) et la parité (3 niveaux). Nous avons trouvé des valeurs plus élevées de h^2 pour les paramètres diagnostiqués par la méthode du bas. Le coefficient d'hérédité pour ALC1 et ALC2 était de 0.07 et 0.18, respectivement; pour ARC1 et ARC2 de 0.17 et 0.2, resp.; et pour SLRC1 et SLRC2 de 0.12 et 0.17, respectivement. Les corrélations génétiques entre ARC1 et ALC1 ou ARC2 et ALC2 étaient élevées ($r_g = 0.73$ ou 0.910). De même, les corrélations entre la taille de la citerne gauche et/ou droite, et la taille totale des deux cisternes étaient élevées avec les deux modes

INFORMACIÓN

Cronología del artículo.

Recibido/Received: 25.5.2015

Aceptado/Accepted: 23.9.2015

On-line: 10.12.2015

Correspondencia a los autores/Contact e-mail:

makovicky.pavol@gmail.com

de scan ($r_g = 0.90$ à 0.98). En conclusion, la mesure de la taille des cisternes de lait par la méthode de côté est plus recommandée, bien que les mesures avec la méthode du bas montrent des coefficients d'héritabilité légèrement plus élevés.

INTRODUCTION

In large animal practice, a number of authors have studied the size of udder cisterns (Labussière *et al.*, 1981; Eyduran *et al.*, 2013; Kotb *et al.*, 2014; Makovický *et al.*, 2015a). The different morphological udder traits, milk yield, somatic cell score, udder health, fat or protein content and also their heritability in dairy ewes as well as their correlations with each other were studied (Rovai *et al.*, 2008; De la Fuente *et al.*, 2011; Legaz *et al.*, 2011; Pourlis, 2011; Gelasakis *et al.*, 2012; Makovický *et al.*, 2013, 2014a,b; Pérez-Cabál *et al.*, 2013; Prpić *et al.*, 2013; Ayadi *et al.*, 2014; Makovický *et al.*, 2015b,c; Sari *et al.*, 2015). Ultrasonography is a fast and accurate, noninvasive method for the investigation of mammary gland structures in animals (Fasulkov *et al.*, 2013; Kotb *et al.*, 2014; Fasulkov *et al.*, 2015; Hussein *et al.*, 2015). B-mode ultrasonography is a suitable method for portraying liquid cavities within all types of tissues, including the mammary gland cisternal cavities of dairy cows, goats and sheep (Bruckmaier and Blum, 1992). Many scientific papers describe how the internal structure of the mammary gland can be studied by means of ultrasonography, and several studies have reported about mammary gland ultrasonography in dairy sheep as an efficient method to evaluate the size and the productive capacity of sheep udders (Ruberte *et al.*, 1994; Nudda *et al.*, 2000; Olechnowicz and Jaśkowski, 2009; Fasulkov, 2012; Petridis *et al.*, 2014). Studies employing this method indicate that the mammary cistern size affects milk emission kinetics in dairy sheep, with this effect being greater than the amount of secretory tissue – cistern size affects milk secretion rate and milk emission kinetics during milking (Labussière *et al.*, 1981; Labussière, 1988; Nudda *et al.*, 2000). The method can also be used to estimate the distribution and movements of milk between the udder compartments and for non-invasive dynamic studies on cisternal milk (Caja *et al.*, 2004; Castillo *et al.*, 2008; Rovai *et al.*, 2008). This technique allows non-invasive investigation of the cistern and could be useful as a new approach to study udder changes to accommodate milk accumulation during different milking intervals and after milk letdown (Salama *et al.*, 2004). Ultrasonography has been used as a non-invasive method to study the internal structure of the mammary gland in dairy goats (Melo *et al.*, 2012; Díaz *et al.*, 2013; Alejandro *et al.*, 2014; Dar *et al.*, 2014; Fasulkov *et al.*, 2014a,b; Santos *et al.*, 2014, 2015), and cows (Bruckmaier *et al.*, 1994; Ayadi *et al.*, 2003; Bobić *et al.*, 2014; Esselburn *et al.*, 2015; Khoramian *et al.*, 2015) in order to measure the milk storage capacity within the udder. The aim of the present study was to estimate genetic parameters underlying selected udder measurements as detected by ultrasonography.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Nine different sheep genotypes were included in this seven year long experiment to determine the

udder size traits of the ewes belonging to the following populations: Improved Valachian (IV), n= 219; Improved Valachian×East Friesian (25%), n= 63; Improved Valachian×East Friesian (50%), n= 84; Improved Valachian×East Friesian (75%), n= 80; Tsigai (T), n= 271; Tsigai×East Friesian (25%), n= 17; Tsigai×East Friesian (50%), n= 157; Tsigai×East Friesian (75%), n= 46; Lacaune (LC), n= 261. Three-breeding crosses with a 25%, 50% and 75% proportional genetic contribution of the specialized dairy breeds, Lacaune and East-Friesian (SDB) were significantly less than the assessed population (17 ewes, i.e. about 5% of the assessed population). For estimation of covariance components and genetic parameters used for determining the size of the udder cisterns of sheep, we employed measurement data, obtained from a previously described experimental flock. Ultrasound images of the left and right udder cisterns were recorded by portable ultrasonography with a 3.5 MHz convex sector probe as previously described (Nudda *et al.*, 2000). The procedure uses contact gel and places the probe directly against the upper part of the median suspensory ligament in the inguinal abdominal fold. The operator performed an equal axis scan of the opposite side of the udder in order to obtain a sonographic image with the largest cistern size (*from side* method). The images were taken once for each half of the udder, 12 hours after the last milking. On the sonographic images, the length of the left (LLC1) and right (LRC1) cisterns and the width of the left (WLC1) and right (WRC1) cisterns (in millimetres) were measured from the cross sectional scans. By using digital technology the left (ALC1) and right (ARC1) cisterna areas (in mm²) were measured, as well as the sum of the areas in both cisterns (SLRC1). For some control measurements, in addition to scanning the udder cisterns using the *from side* method, the sizes of the left and right udder cisterns were also investigated by scanning the entire ventral udder using the *from bottom* method. Udders were measured while immersed in water, with the probe held in the water against the udder wall as described (Bruckmaier *et al.*, 1997). Sonographic images obtained *from bottom* produced equal measurements for the udder cisterns as sonography *from side* (LLC2, LRC2, WLC2, WRC2, ALC2, ARC2, SLRC2).

Estimation of covariance components, followed by calculation of genetic parameters, was conducted using restricted maximum likelihood method (REML) and the multiple-trait animal model, using the REMLF90 and VCE 4.0 programs (Groeneveld and García-Cortés, 1998). The estimation of covariance was based upon a multiple trait animal model incorporating 7 traits. Genetic parameters were determined separately for length, width and area of the left and right cisterns surveyed using *from side* and *from bottom* methods. In the estimation of genetic parameters underlying udder cisterns size using the *from side* method and using untransformed data, 1023 measurements were carried out for the indicators LLC1, WLC1, LRC1, and WRC1 and 1198 measurements for ALC1, ARC1, and SLRC1. For estimating genetic parameters of udder cisterns size *from bottom*, 753 measurements were included for each character in 265 ewes, according to Serrano *et al.* (2002). In addition to genetic correlations, between-method

correlation values were obtained using the Pearson phenotype correlation and calculated using the CORR procedure (SAS Institute, 2002-2008).

For estimation of covariance components and genetic parameters for all of the above parameters, the following model was used:

$$y_{ijklmno} = m + Y_i + LS_j + GEN_k + P_l + b^*DIM_{ijklm} + a_m + tp_n + e_{ijklmno}$$

where:

$y_{ijklmno}$ = is the vector of observations for the investigated characteristics (see above for details);

Y_i = year (fixed effect with 5 to 7 levels);

LS_j = lactation stage (fixed effect with 4 levels; from 40th to 99th lactation day, from 100th to 129th lactation day, from 130th to 159th lactation day and from 160th to 210th lactation day);

GEN_k = genotype (breed group, fixed effect with 9 levels; see above for characterization);

P_l = parity (fixed effect with 3 levels; first, second, third and further parity);

a_m = is the additive genetic effect of ewes;

DIM_{ijklm} = days in milk (covariate; 40 to 210 days in milk);

tp_n = the permanent environmental effect of ewes;

$e_{ijklmno}$ = the random error.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Tables I and **II** show the basic statistical characteristics of the variation parameters which characterize the

size of sheep udder cisterns (measured *from side* and *from bottom*). The area of left cistern (ALC) and right cistern (ARC) investigated by the method *from side* ranged from 133 mm² to 7560 mm², and from 10 mm² to 5799 mm², respectively. The sums of both cross-section areas (SLRC) ranged from 390 mm² up to 12900 mm² (mean= 3904.07 mm², v= 44.78%). The average area of the the left (ALC) and right cisterns (ARC) was 1933.35, and 1970.72 mm² respectively. The area of the left (ALC) and right cistern (ARC) investigated by the method *from bottom* ranged from 166 mm² to 6731 mm² and 178 mm² to 7832 mm² respectively. The sums of both cross-section areas (SLRC) investigated by the method *from bottom* ranged from 650 mm² up to 12646 mm² (mean= 4308.77 mm², v= 40.41%). **Tables III** and **IV** show the coefficients of heritability (h^2 , on diagonal), genetic correlations (above diagonal) and phenotypic correlations (below diagonal) characterizing the size of the sheep udder cisterns measured *from side* and *from bottom* respectively. Heritability coefficients calculated using 7 characters ranged from 0.02 to 0.17 for the measurements *from side* and from 0.03 to 0.22 for measurements *from bottom*. The highest values for h^2 occurred when using cistern areas obtained using the *from side* method. The heritability coefficient of ARC1 was 0.17, and for SLRC1 was 0.12. The highest values were found in h^2 length of the cistern using the *from bottom* method. The heritability coefficient for LLC2 was 0.22 and for LRC2 was 0.19. However, heritability coefficients determined for areas of cisterns were only slightly lower: ALC2 h^2 = 0.18, h²= 0.12 ARC2 SLRC2 and h²= 0.17. Relatively large differences in heritability coefficients between the right and left cisterns were found using the *from side* method. These

Table I. Basic statistical characteristics of the variation of selected parameters characterizing the udder cistern size of ewes (measured *from side*) (Caractéristiques statistiques de base de la variation des paramètres sélectionnés caractérisant la taille de la citerne de la mamelle de brebis (mesurées *de gauche*)).

Measurement	N	Mean	SD	CV	Min.	Max.
Length of left cistern (mm)	1023	69.14	16.31	23.59	17	133
Width of left cistern (mm)	1023	36.39	11.19	30.75	5	104
Area of left cistern (mm ²)	1198	1933.35	929.15	48.06	133	7560
Length of right cistern (mm)	1023	69.94	15.86	22.68	20	118
Width of right cistern (mm)	1023	37.68	10.74	28.50	10	84
Area of right cistern (mm ²)	1198	1970.72	927.95	47.09	10	5799
Sums of both cross-section areas (mm ²)	1198	3904.07	1748.46	44.78	390	12900

N= number of sets of measurements; SD= standard deviation; CV= coefficient of variability.

Table II. Basic statistical characteristics of the variation of selected parameters characterizing the udder cistern size of ewes (measured *from bottom*) (Caractéristiques statistiques de base de la variation des paramètres sélectionnés caractérisant la taille de la citerne de la mamelle de brebis (mesurée *du bas*)).

Measurement	N	Mean	SD	CV	Min.	Max.
Length of left cistern (mm)	753	78.63	15.17	19.29	25	132
Width of left cistern (mm)	753	35.46	9.78	27.58	7	77
Area of left cistern (mm ²)	753	2137.67	919.56	43.02	166	6731
Length of right cistern (mm)	753	78.04	15.13	19.39	19	131
Width of right cistern (mm)	753	35.86	10.16	28.33	7	134
Area of right cistern (mm ²)	753	2171.12	940.10	43.30	178	7832
Sums of both cross-section areas (mm ²)	753	4308.77	1741.25	40.41	650	12646

N= number of sets of measurements; SD= standard deviation; CV= coefficient of variability.

Table III. Heritability coefficients (on diagonal), genetic (above diagonal) and phenotypic (below diagonal) correlations that characterize the size of sheep udder cisterns (measured *from side*) (Coefficients d'héritabilité (en diagonale), génétique (ci-dessus) de diagonale et phénotypique (ci-dessous) en diagonale corrélations qui caractérisent la taille des cisternes moutons de la mamelle (mesurées *de gauche*)).

Indicators	LLC1	WLC1	ALC1	LRC1	WRC1	ARC1	SLRC1
LLC1-length of left cistern	0.02	-0.01	0.49	0.84	0.00	0.46	0.50
WLC1-width of left cistern	0.71	0.02	0.84	-0.08	0.45	0.44	0.64
ALC1-area of left cistern	0.86	0.91	0.07	0.44	0.47	0.73	0.90
LRC1-length of right cistern	0.80	0.58	0.71	0.07	0.36	0.77	0.69
WRC1-width of right cistern	0.63	0.75	0.74	0.74	0.04	0.83	0.93
ARC1-area of right cistern	0.74	0.71	0.77	0.87	0.90	0.17	0.97
SLRC1-sums of both cross-section areas	0.84	0.86	0.94	0.83	0.87	0.94	0.12

Table IV. Heritability coefficients (on diagonal), genetic (above diagonal) and phenotypic (below diagonal) correlations that characterize the size of sheep udder cisterns (measured *from bottom*) (Coefficients d'héritabilité (en diagonale), génétique (ci-dessus) de diagonale et phénotypique (ci-dessous) en diagonale corrélations qui caractérisent la taille des cisternes moutons de la mamelle (mesurées *du bas*)).

Indicators	LLC1	WLC1	ALC1	LRC1	WRC1	ARC1	SLRC1
LLC2-length of left cistern	0.22	0.70	0.89	0.94	0.66	0.72	0.83
WLC2-width of left cistern	0.67	0.12	0.89	0.55	0.67	0.71	0.83
ALC2-area of left cistern	0.85	0.88	0.18	0.85	0.85	0.91	0.98
LRC2-length of right cistern	0.79	0.56	0.70	0.19	0.77	0.81	0.85
WRC2-width of right cistern	0.62	0.61	0.68	0.71	0.03	0.97	0.92
ARC2-area of right cistern	0.73	0.61	0.75	0.86	0.87	0.12	0.97
SLRC2-sums of both cross-section areas	0.84	0.80	0.935	0.84	0.83	0.938	0.17

differences could theoretically arise from differential preferences for the right or left sides of the udder during suckling of lambs – especially when rearing a single lamb where half the udder would be stimulated to relatively greater milk production. Measurements *from side* showed lower heritability coefficients for values of the left cistern, while *from bottom* showed lower heritability coefficients for values of the right cistern. This fact highlights differences in scanning each half of the udder. As regards the genetic correlations, in most cases, especially when measured *from side*, udder cisterns area depended more on the width of the cistern ($rg= 0.83$ to 0.97) than its length ($rg= 0.49$ to 0.89). Cistern width is strongly correlated with the width of the udder.

Correlation between the length and width of cisterns was very different depending on the method of measurements, and this was probably related to the fact that the shape of cisterns is highly variable, depending on the size and shape of the udder, teats status, abundance and distribution of secretory tissue inside the udder and other factors. Correlations between the right and left area of cisterns were higher when measured by the *from bottom* method ($rg= 0.91$) than by the *from side* method ($rg= 0.73$). The amount of this correlation reflects the fact that most ewes have udders roughly symmetrical, but there are ewes with an unbalanced udder, called *outweighed*, with different large cisterns. Rate representation of these ewes with unbalanced udders in the evaluated group of animals greatly affects the correlation coefficient between the area of the right and left cisterns. Correlations between the area of cisterns and their sum were relatively high, the highest values were found between ARC1 and

SLRC1 ($rg= 0.97$), due to the fact that in this case the first monitored character is part of the second. The highest phenotypic correlations were found between SLRC1 and ALC1 (0.94), while other phenotype correlations between the monitored indicators are considered to be sufficiently high for effective selection.

Calculated coefficients of heritability and the genetic and phenotypic correlations characterizing the size of the udder cisterns of ewes are the basis for deciding on the possibility of using ultrasound technology to facilitate selective breeding for improved functional and morphological characteristics of the udder. The main reason for consideration of the new selection criteria is that milking machines are widely promoted at dairy sheep farms, in which issues related to udder morphology and milking ability of ewes have a significant role. For measurements *from side* it is important to follow the same placement of the probe and the same procedure to scan the left and right side of the udder. In keeping these principles, one can expect to reduce the variability of measurements due to measurement error and consequently increase the coefficients of heritability for these characters. Bruckmaier *et al.* (1994) reported a correlation between scan area and cisternal milk ($r= 0.80$) at a 10-h milking interval in dairy cows. Caja *et al.* (1999) found a high interdependence of the width and section area of gland cisterns with milk production of ewes, amounting to $rp= 0.81$ and $rp= 0.90$, respectively. Phenotypic correlations between milk yield and the cisternal depth and width were only 0.34 and 0.38, respectively. Ślósarz *et al.* (2002) measured the section area of the gland cistern of the udder in sheep and determined the level of correlation with their milk yields at $rp= 0.74$. Wójtowski *et al.* (2002) reported in

Polish White Improved goats a higher level of interdependence between milk production and the area of the udder gland cistern $r_p = 0.86$. The size of mammary cisterns in terms of milk storage may be an important factor in determining reduced yield associated with extended milking intervals in dairy species (Ayadi *et al.*, 2003). Castillo *et al.* (2008) reported that Manchega and Lacaune ewes presented the greatest correlations between cisternal area and cisternal milk at the 8-h interval (Manchega, $r = 0.70$; Lacaune, $r = 0.56$). Similar results were reported by Salama *et al.* (2004) in dairy goats ($r = 0.72$). Nudda *et al.* (2000) reported a correlation ($r = 0.82$) in large-cisternd Sarda dairy ewes.

CONCLUSION

Heritability coefficients show a relatively low value for the size of sheep udder cisterns, but it is still usable for efficient selection. Due to the complexity of the preparation of the measurements (particularly time and labour intensity), the authors recommend the implementation of measuring the udder cisterns *from side*, even though measurements *from bottom* show slightly higher heritability coefficients. If rapid measurement is needed, the linear dimension of the width of cisterns is recommended.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was performed during the realization of the project MLIEKO 26220220098 funded by the Operational Program for Research and Development of the European Regional Development Fund. Michal Milerski was supported by project No. QJ1310184 of the National Agency for Agricultural Research, Czech Republic. We also gratefully acknowledge the help of research assistant Ing. Alexej Poul.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Alejandro, M.; Rodríguez, M.; Peris, C. and Díaz, J.R. 2014. Study of ultrasound scanning as method to estimate changes in teat thickness due to machine milking in Manchega ewes. *Small Rumin Res*, 119: 138-145.
- Ayadi, M.; Caja, G.; Such, X. and Knight, CH. 2003. Use of ultrasonography to estimate cistern size and milk storage at different milking intervals in the udder of dairy cows. *J Dairy Res*, 70: 1-7.
- Ayadi, M.; Matar, A.M.; Aljumaah, R.S.; Alshaikh, M.A. and Abouheif, M.A. 2014. Evolution of udder morphology, alveolar and cisternal milk compartment during lactation and their relationship with milk yield in Najdi sheep. *Span J Agric Res*, 12: 1061-1070.
- Bobić, T.; Mijić, P.; Vučković, G.; Gregić, M.; Baban, M. and Gantner, V. 2014. Morphological and milkability breed differences of dairy cows. *Mlječarstvo*, 64: 71-78.
- Bruckmaier, R.M. and Blum, J.W. 1992. B-mode ultrasonography of mammary glands of cows, goats and sheep during α - and β -adrenergic agonist and oxytocin administration. *J Dairy Res*, 59: 151-159.
- Bruckmaier, R.M.; Rothenanger, E. and Blum, J.W. 1994. Measurement of mammary gland cistern size and determination of the cisternal milk fraction in dairy cows. *Milchwiss*, 49: 543-546.
- Bruckmaier, R.M.; Paul, G.; Mayer, H. and Schams, D. 1997. Machine milking of Ossfriesian and Lacaune dairy sheep: udder anatomy, milk ejection and milking characteristics. *J Dairy Res*, 64: 163-172.
- Caja, G.; Such, X.; Ruberte, J.; Carretero, A. and Navarro, M. 1999. The use of ultrasonography in the study of mammary gland cisterns during lactation in sheep. In: Barillet, F., Zervas, N.P. (eds). *Milking and milk production of dairy sheep and goats*. EAAP Publication Nr 95. Wageningen Press. Wageningen. The Netherlands. pp. 91-93.
- Caja, G.; Ayadi, M. and Knight, CH. 2004. Changes in cisternal compartment based on stage of lactation and time since milk ejection in the udder of dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci*, 87: 2409-2415.
- Castillo, V.; Such, X.; Caja, G.; Salama, A.A.K.; Albanell, E. and Casals R. 2008. Changes in alveolar and cisternal compartments induced by milking interval in the udder of dairy ewes. *J Dairy Sci*, 91: 3403-3411.
- Dar, M.U.D.; Tiwari, D.K.; Jhala, S.K.; Patil, D.B.; Parikh, P.V.; Joy, N. and Wadhwan, K.N. 2014. Ultrasonography of teat in surti goats. *Indian J Anim Res*, 48: 59-62.
- De la Fuente, L.F.; Gonzalo, C.; Sánchez, J.P.; Rodríguez, R.; Carriero, J.A. and San Primitivo, F. 2011. Genetic parameters of the linear body conformation traits and genetic correlations with udder traits, milk yield and composition, and somatic cell count in dairy ewes. *Can J Anim Sci*, 91: 585-591.
- Díaz, J.R.; Alejandro, M.; Peris, C. and Fernández, N. 2013. Use of ultrasound scanning to estimate teat wall thickness in Murciano-Granadina goats. *Livestock Sci*, 155: 114-122.
- Esselburn, K.M.; Hill, T.M.; Bateman, H.G.; Fluharty, F.L.; Moeller, S.J.; O'Diam, K.M. and Daniels, K.M. 2015. Examination of weekly mammary parenchymal area by ultrasound, mammary mass, and composition in Holstein heifers reared on 1 of 3 diets from birth to 2 months of age. *J Dairy Sci*, 98: 5280-5293.
- Edurhan, E.; Yilmaz, I.; Kaygisiz, A. and Aktas, Z.M. 2013. An investigation on relationship between lactation milk yield, somatic cell count and udder traits in first lactation Turkish Saanen goat using different statistical techniques. *J Anim Plant Sci*, 23: 956-963.
- Fasulkov, I.R. 2012. Ultrasonography of the mammary gland in ruminants: A review. *Bulg J Vet Med*, 15: 1-12.
- Fasulkov, I.; Yotov, S.; Atanasov, A. and Antonov A. 2013. Evaluation of different techniques of teat ultrasonography in goats. *Istanbul Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 39: 33-39.
- Fasulkov, I.; Georgiev, P.; Wehrend, A. and Goericke-Pesch, S. 2014a. Ultrasonographic findings of pathological changes in the mammary gland in Bulgarian native goats. *Small Rumin Res*, 120: 174-180.
- Fasulkov, I.; Karadaev, M. and Djabirova, M. 2014b. Ultrasound measurements of teat structures in goats. *Rev Med Vet*, 165: 188-192.
- Fasulkov, I.; Karadaev, M.; Vasilev, N.; Simeonov, R.; Urumova, V. and Mladenova, E. 2015. Ultrasound and histopathological investigations of experimentally induced *Staphylococcus aureus* mastitis in goats. *Small Rumin Res*, 129: 114-120.
- Gelasakis, A.I.; Arsenos, G.; Valergakis, G.E.; Oikonomou, G.; Kiossis, E. and Fthenakis, G.C. 2012. Study of factors affecting udder traits and assessment of their interrelationships with milking efficiency in Chios breed ewes. *Small Rumin Res*, 103: 232-239.
- Groeneveld, E. and García-Cortés, L.A. 1998. VCE 4.0: A (Co)variance components package for frequentists and Bayesians. Proceedings of the 6th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production. Animal Genetics and Breeding Unit. Armidale. Australia. pp. 455-456.
- Hussein, H.A.; El-Khabaz, K.A.S. and Malek, S.S. 2015. Is udder ultrasonography a diagnostic tool for subclinical mastitis in sheep? *Small Rumin Res*, 129: 121-128.
- Khoramian, B.; Vajhi, A.; Ghasemzadeh-Nava, H.; Ahrari-Khafi, M.S. and Bahonar, A. 2015. Ultrasonography of the supramammary lymph nodes for diagnosis of bovine chronic subclinical mastitis. *Iran J Vet Res*, 16: 75-77.
- Kotb, E.E.Z.; Abu-Seida, A.M. and Fadel, M.S. 2014. The correlation between ultrasonographic and laboratory findings of mastitis in buffaloes. *Global Vet*, 13: 68-74.
- Labussière, J.; Dotchewski, D. et Combaut, J.F. 1981. Caractéristiques morphologiques de la mamelle des brebis Lacaune. Méthodologie pour l'obtention des données. Relations avec l'aptitude à la traite. *Ann Zootech*, 30: 115-136.

- Labussière, J. 1988. Review of physiological and anatomical factors influencing the milking ability of ewes and the organization of milking. *Livest Prod Sci*, 18: 253-274.
- Legaz, E.; Cervantes, I.; Pérez-Cabal, M.Á.; De La Fuente, L.F.; Martínez, R.; Goyaché, F. and Gutiérrez, J.P. 2011. Multivariate characterisation of morphological traits in Assaf (Assaf.E) sheep. *Small Rumin Res*, 100: 122-130.
- Makovický, Pa.; Nagy, M. and Makovický, Pe. 2013. Comparison of external udder measurements of the sheep breeds Improved Valachian, Tsigai, Lacaune and their crosses. *Chil J Agr Res*, 73: 366-371.
- Makovický, Pa.; Nagy, M. and Makovický, Pe. 2014a. The comparison of ewe udder morphology traits of Improved Valachian, Tsigai, Lacaune breeds and their crosses. *Mlještarstvo*, 64: 86-93.
- Makovický, Pa.; Makovický, Pe.; Nagy, M.; Rimárová, K. and Diabelková, J. 2014b. Genetic parameters for Somatic cell count, LOGSCC and Somatic cell score among breeds: Improved Valachian, Tsigai, Lacaune and their crosses. *Acta Vet Beograd*, 64: 386-396.
- Makovický, Pa.; Margetín, M. and Milerski, M. 2015a. Estimation of udder cistern size in dairy ewes by ultrasonography. *Mlještarstvo*, 65: 210-218.
- Makovický, Pa.; Margetín, M. and Makovický, Pe. 2015b. Genetic parameters for the linear udder traits of nine dairy ewes - short communication. *Vet Arhiv*, 85: 577-582.
- Makovický, Pa.; Rimárová, K.; Makovický, Pe. and Nagy, M. 2015c. Genetic parameters for external udder traits of different dairy ewes. *Indian J Anim Sci*, 85: 89-90.
- Nudda, A.; Pulina, G.; Vallebella, R.; Bencini, R. and Enne, G. 2000. Ultrasound technique for measuring mammary cistern size of dairy ewes. *J Dairy Res*, 67: 101-106.
- Olechnowicz, J. and Jaśkowski, J.M. 2009. Ultrasound examination of mammary glands in ruminants. *Medycyna Wet*, 65: 147-150.
- Pérez-Cabal, M.Á.; Legaz, E.; Cervantes, I.; De la Fuente, L.F.; Martínez, R.; Goyache, F. and Gutiérrez, J.P. 2013. Association between body and udder morphological traits and dairy performance in Spanish Assaf sheep. *Arch Tierz*, 56: 430-442.
- Petridis, I.G.; Gouletsou, P.G.; Barbagianni, M.S.; Amiridis, G.S.; Brozos, C.; Valasi, I. and Fthenakis, G.C. 2014. Ultrasonographic findings in the ovine udder during involution. *J Dairy Res*, 81: 288-296.
- Pourlis, A.F. 2011. A review of morphological characteristics relating to the production and reproduction of fat-tailed sheep breeds. *Trop Anim Health Prod*, 43: 1267-1287.
- Prpić, Z.; Mioč, B.; Vnućec, I.; Držaić, V. and Pavić, V. 2013. Non-genetic factors of udder morphology traits in Istrian ewes. *Mlještarstvo*, 63: 72-80.
- Rovai, M.; Caja, G. and Such, X. 2008. Evaluation of udder cisterns and effects on milk yield of dairy ewes. *J Dairy Sci*, 91: 4622-4629.
- Ruberte, J.; Carretero, A.; Fernández, M.; Navarro, M.; Caja, G.; Kirchner, F. and Such, X. 1994. Ultrasound mammography in the lactating ewe and its correspondence to anatomical section. *Small Rumin Res*, 13: 199-204.
- Salama, A.A.K.; Caja, G.; Such, X.; Peris, S.; Sorensen, A. and Knight CH. 2004. Changes in cisternal udder compartment induced by milking interval in dairy goats milked once or twice daily. *J Dairy Sci*, 87: 1181-1187.
- Santos, V.J.C.; Simplicio, K.M.M.G.; Sánchez, D.C.C.; Almeida, V.T.; Teixeira, P.P.M.; Coutinho, L.N.; Rodrigues, L.F.S.; Oliveira, M.E.F.; Feliciano, M.A.R. and Vicente, W.R.R. 2014. Conventional and Doppler ultrasonography on a goat with gangrenous mastitis. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zoo*, 66: 1931-1935.
- Santos, V.; Simplicio, K.; Sanchez, D.; Coutinho, L.; Teixeira, P.; Barros, F.; Almeida, V.; Rodrigues, L.; Bartlewski, P.; Oliveira, M.; Feliciano, M. and Vicente, W. 2015. B-mode and doppler sonography of the mammary glands in dairy goats for mastitis diagnosis. *Reprod Domest Anim*, 50: 251-255.
- SAS Institute. 2002-2008. SAS/STAT Version 9.2. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC.
- Sari, M.; Yilmaz, I. and Onk, K. 2015. Effects of lactation stage, lactation order and udder types on udder traits and composition of milk in Tuğ ewes. *Ankara Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 62: 313-318.
- Serrano, M.; Pérez-Guzmán, M.D.; Montoro, V. and Jurado, J.J. 2002. Genetic analysis of udder traits in Manchega ewes. *Livest Prod Sci*, 77: 355-361.
- Ślósarz, P.; Wójtowski, J.; Gut, A.; Jelińska, M. and Gize, M. 2002. Preliminary results of application of ultrasound technique for estimation of milk yield in the sheep. *Zesz Nauk Przegl Hod*, 63: 113-118.
- Wójtowski, J.; Ślósarz, P.; Górecki, M. and Malecha, W. 2002. Ultrasound measurements of goat's mammary gland cisterns during lactation. *Medycyna Wet*, 58: 977-980.