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SUMMARY
Grass-legume swards form the basis of many productive pasture systems. A study was carried out to evaluate their ability to smother weeds. A total of twelve treatments consisting of grass/legume mixed swards were planted in July, 2004. Soil analysis of the site was carried out prior to and after the study. Some agronomic data like plant height, leaf number and population of weeds found in the plots were recorded using a meter square quadrat. There was a slight increase in the nitrogen content of the soil in 2005 after the study. The weed populations were highest during the first three weeks after planting. The weed population reduced drastically with increasing week. There were significant differences (p<0.05) in the population of the weeds in the mixed sward during the 2 year duration of this study. Stylosanthes hamata recorded higher weed populations during the first year while a higher weeds population was recorded in the plots of Tephrosia bracteolata during the second year.

INTRODUCTION
Legumes in grass/legume mixed swards are noted to play key roles in provision of year-round high quality forages for the ruminant animals as well as improving the soil nutrient status. Apart from fixing nitrogen which can be utilized by grasses, the integration of legumes can also offset the need for yearly top dressing of sole grass pasture with nitrogen fertilizer. Mixtures are also more productive during dry season and have a higher nutritional value than grass alone (Roberts, 1994). Both grasses and legumes can be used for pasture.
Legume-grass combinations will usually result in better forage production and animal performance than will a single species grown alone. A grass/legume mixture is also better for pastures than a pure legume because it increases soil and water conservation, enhances weed control, reduces risk of total stand loss due to thinning of legume stands, bloat protection for animals grazing exclusively legumes, and increases longevity and production of the pasture (Casler and Walgenbach, 1990). Meanwhile, maintaining tropical legumes as a pasture component over time has been a major challenge because most of the highly productive tropical grasses are tall growing and very aggressive.

Therefore, this study aims to determine the effects of the growth rate of some legumes in grass/legume mixtures and their ability to suppress weed growth as weed infestation is a major concern in the management of grass-legume mixtures especially at the early stages of establishment (Peters and Linscott, 1988). Efforts have therefore been intensified to develop legumes that are well compatible with the existing grasses so as to enhance the productive life of the grass-legume mixtures that are developed from them.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the University of Agriculture Teaching and Research farm, Alabata Abeokuta beginning from July 2004 – October 2005. A total area of 378m² used for the experiment was divided into three replicates with each replicate sub-divided into twelve equal plots of 3m x 3m each after ploughing and stumping. The experiment was laid out in a Completely Randomized design with three replicates. A total of fourteen treatments, consisting twelve grass-legume mixtures (two legumes and six grasses), and two pure legumes pasture. The legumes used were *T. bracteolata* (annual) and *S. hamata* cv Verano (perennial) while the six grasses were *Brachiaria ruziizensis*, *B. decumbens*, *Andropogon tectorum*, *Pennisetum purpureum*, *Panicum maximum cv Nchitsi* and *Digitaria smutsii*. The grasses were planted in rows spaced 1m apart with the legumes drilled between the rows at the spacing of 50 cm. Plant heights, leaf number per plant, number of stands per m² and weed pressure were counted weekly using 1 m² quadrat. The dry matter (DM) yield of the forages was estimated after the sixth and twelfth WAP. Data collected were subjected to one – way analysis of variance (ANOVA) as

![Figure 1. The effect of week on the population of T. bracteolata and the weeds in 2004. (Efecto del tiempo sobre la población de T. bracteolata y malas hierbas en 2004).](image1)

![Figure 2. The effect of week on the population of S. hamata and the weeds in 2004. (Efecto del tiempo sobre la población de S. hamata y malas hierbas en 2004).](image2)
described by Wahua (1999). The mean weed pressure (weed number/m²) and differences in legume growth rate were evaluated using T-test paired sample statistics and separated by Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) (Duncan, 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

*T. bracteolata* monoculture and *T. bracteolata* /grass mixtures recorded higher plant population during the first year which was significantly higher (p<0.05) than that recorded for *S. hamata* as shown in figures 1 and 2. The reverse was the case in the second year with *S. hamata* recording higher (p<0.05) population than *T. bracteolata* (figures 3 y 4). The population of *T. bracteolata* decreased with increasing week due probably to intra-plant competition and the approaching dry season contrary to the gradual increase in the population of *S. hamata* as a result of its extended growth and ability to withstand drought (Skerman *et al*., 1998). The steady increase in its height and branches as the plant matured resulted in decreased weed population at the eleventh week.

The weed population was significantly higher (p< 0.05) in all the plots of *S. hamata* than in *T. bracteolata* plots during the first year. Weed population was significantly higher in the mixed plot of *T. bracteolata* / *B. ruziziensis*, which was due to poor establishment of the grass as it was planted late as replacement after the initial grass (*Chloris gayana*) failed to germinate. Weed population in *S. hamata* plots was generally higher (p< 0.05) in the sole plot than in the mixed plots where it was planted with the grasses. The lower weed population in all the plots of *T. bracteolata* in 2004 might be as a result of the ability of this annual legume to grow quickly and form canopy which will produce a shading effect on the weed seedlings unlike *S. hamata*, a perennial legume, that is relatively slower in growth and takes time to establish properly (Babayemi, 2002). This leads to delay in soil coverage and provides advantage for weeds in the plots to grow more. The significantly (p<0.05) higher population and greater height of *T. bracteolata* compared to *S. hamata* also support this observation which definitely will have some suppressing effects on the weeds (Robert, 1994).

The higher weed population observed in the monoculture of *S. hamata* could be as a result its slow – growing and semi – erect
growth habit, contrary to the lower weed population recorded in the mixed plots with the grasses, where the aggressive and fast growing grasses may have contributed in reducing the weed populations (Skerman et al., 1998).

It can be concluded from the study that annual legume like *T. bracteolata* can be utilized as a major component in the supply of early feed resource to livestock. Legumes can also be effectively utilized in suppressing the deleterious effect of weeds.
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